

## Danner, Ward

---

**From:** Wedell, Kelly  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 28, 2014 12:50 PM  
**To:** Huetteman, Tom  
**Cc:** Armann, Steve  
**Subject:** RE: MHS Rooms 301,302,303

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Green Category

Okay- I have a 1:00 and a 2:00; I'll swing by around 2:30/ 3:00?

*Kelly Wedell*

Project Officer  
Planning and State Development Office  
US EPA Region 9

Phone:415-972-3735  
Email: [wedell.kelly@epa.gov](mailto:wedell.kelly@epa.gov)  
Mailing Address:  
75 Hawthorne St., LND-1-1  
San Francisco, CA 94105

---

**From:** Huetteman, Tom  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 28, 2014 12:46 PM  
**To:** Wedell, Kelly  
**Cc:** Armann, Steve  
**Subject:** Re: MHS Rooms 301,302,303

I will be responding. Let's touch base on a related communication.

Tom Huetteman, Assistant Director  
Land Division, EPA Region 9  
415-972-3751

On Aug 28, 2014, at 12:28 PM, "Wedell, Kelly" <[Wedell.Kelly@epa.gov](mailto:Wedell.Kelly@epa.gov)> wrote:

How would you like me(or you) to handle the response?

*Kelly Wedell*

Project Officer  
Planning and State Development Office  
US EPA Region 9

Phone:415-972-3735  
Email: [wedell.kelly@epa.gov](mailto:wedell.kelly@epa.gov)  
Mailing Address:  
75 Hawthorne St., LND-1-1  
San Francisco, CA 94105

---

**From:** Jennifer DENICOLA [<mailto:jd18@me.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 28, 2014 8:35 AM  
**To:** Huetteman, Tom  
**Cc:** Scott, Jeff; Armann, Steve; Wilson, Patrick; Santos, Carmen; Wedell, Kelly; Jennifer deNicola  
**Subject:** Re: MHS Rooms 301,302,303

Tom,

It is your agencies oversight, since our school has violated federal law, so these questions can only be answers by the EPA.

This is information that you should have and be providing to the public when requested. We have asked for the raw data information since June 18 when environ started this project. You and your agency have given me assurances that as soon as the testing was done you will have this information and provide it.

So now I'm asking, you either have this information or you don't.

If you do not have this information, I would like to know that this information has not been provided to you by environ and that you do not have any of the raw data for PCBs testing at Malibu high school or Juan Cabrillo.

If you do not have any of this raw data and have not seen it, then your agency has relied on Environ's interpretation of data to make assurances to the public that the high school and elementary school or safe for occupancy.

Please confirm this, do you have this raw data or not?

In addition, it was under your agencies recommendation to test the air and dust only. Your agency set and approved the screening levels for these tests. So if these tests violated your screening levels, you must of been made aware of what must occur. Or was this procedure after exceeding the screening levels never discussed with the EPA?

We are asking the right agency the right questions about what was done to the two rooms that have exceeded your screening level. 303 and 506

Do not point us to an agency outside of the government to ask a question that the government should very easily know. If you do not have this information, then please specifically tell is that.

If your agency has the raw data, you are a public agency and this information needs to be shared with the public. Please provide is all the raw data you have receive. We all expect your cooperation in this matter.

One again: here is the letter with question for the EPA, if you do not have the answers please indicate that you do not know.

Please explain to me why testing would show higher amount of PCB's by just by moving orchestra risers in 303? PCB's are there in the building materials or they are not there, correct?

Please explain the detailed testing and dates that testing occurred in room 303 since the air levels were way above the EPA benchmark, the EPA must have been concerned.

In addition, at what point will the EPA require source testing? Isn't that why EPA set the benchmarks for air and wipe testing in the first place? Isn't air and wipe testing done as an indication of a PCB source issue in the entire room?

Respectfully,

Jennifer deNicola  
Malibu Unites  
[www.MalibuUnites.com](http://www.MalibuUnites.com)

On Aug 28, 2014, at 7:59 AM, "Huetteman, Tom" <[Huetteman.Tom@epa.gov](mailto:Huetteman.Tom@epa.gov)> wrote:

Jennifer,

Thank you for your inquiry about these rooms. I have also seen Kelly's email to you on Tuesday. I believe that her response is appropriate. The detailed questions you are asking are more appropriately directed to the District.

Sincerely,

Tom Huetteman, Assistant Director  
RCRA Branch, Land Division, USEPA Region 9  
415-972-3751

---

**From:** Jennifer DENICOLA [<mailto:jd18@me.com>]

**Sent:** Tuesday, August 26, 2014 5:47 PM

**To:** Wedell, Kelly

**Cc:** Scott, Jeff; Blumenfeld, Jared; Huetteman, Tom; Armann, Steve; Wilson, Patrick; Santos, Carmen

**Subject:** Re: MHS Rooms 301,302,303

Kelly,

Thank you for the reply but you failed to answer any of my questions. Redirecting me to the district fails to address the questions specifically addressed to the EPA. If you don't have the answers, would one of your region 9 associates please reply. I have included them again below please address them each specifically.

Please explain to me why testing would show higher amount of PCB's by just by moving orchestra risers in 303? PCB's are there in the building materials or they are not there, correct?

Please explain the detailed testing and dates that testing occurred in room 303 since the air levels were way above the EPA benchmark, the EPA must have been concerned.

In addition, at what point will the EPA require source testing? Isn't that why EPA set the benchmarks for air and wipe testing in the first place? Isn't air and wipe testing done as an indication of a PCB source issue in the entire room?

Jennifer deNicola  
Malibu Unites  
[www.MalibuUnites.com](http://www.MalibuUnites.com)

On Aug 26, 2014, at 10:21 AM, "Wedell, Kelly" <[Wedell.Kelly@epa.gov](mailto:Wedell.Kelly@epa.gov)> wrote:

Kelly,

I have read the exchange below. Please explain to me why testing would be delayed by moving orchestra risers?

Please explain the detailed testing and dates that occurred in to room 303.

In addition, why when a classroom tests above the EPA guidelines set in. Other air and wipe tests isn't the EPA seeing this as an indication of a PCB problem in the room and requiring source testing?